



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,253	09/01/2000	Cynthia L. Recker	SC11244ZC 5727		
51894	7590 12/16/2005		EXAMINER		
LAW OFFI	CE OF CHARLES W	DAY, HERNG DER			
P.O. BOX 1622 COLLEYVILLE, TX 76034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2128		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/654,25	53	RECKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Herng-der	Day	2128				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	<u> </u>			
Period fo	• •			0) 00 71110777 (00) 0 4376				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete period for reply is specified above, the maximum set to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. tatutory period will apply and wi y will, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 28 September 2	2005.					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by the I	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin				(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	, ,		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the Internation	•						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certi	ned copies not receive	:d.				
Attachme-	Wa\							
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/654,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Response ("Response") to Office Action dated June 28, 2005, faxed September 28, 2005.

- 1-1. Claims 1-20 are pending.
- 1-2. Claims 1-20 have been examined and rejected.

Oath/Declaration

2. The DECLARATION filed on September 28, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the reference of Zhang et al., U.S. Patent 6,560,755 B1 issued May 6, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michael et al., "Statistical Modeling of Device Mismatch for Analog MOS Integrated Circuits", IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, Volume 27, Issue 2, February 1992, pages 154-166, in view of Applicants' admission.
- **4-1.** Regarding claims 1-6 and 8-20, Michael et al. disclose a mismatch modeling tool comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/654,253

Art Unit: 2128

a software implemented transistor mismatch model ("SITMM") (page 155, section II.A. Parameter Variance Model);

at least one editable mismatch model data library comprising process parameter variables accessed by said SITMM (page 161, section III.B. Parameter Extraction; based on a given set of processing-dependent model fitting parameters, page 165, left column, paragraph 3);

a circuit simulation library and program data output accessed by said SITMM (page 162, section III.C. Simulation); and

a graphical interface to said SITMM (Integration of this statistical model into a CAD environment, page 165, left column, paragraph 3).

Michael et al. fail to expressly disclose the format used in the input interface for different scenarios. Nevertheless, Michael et al. have disclosed integrating the model into a CAD environment.

Applicants have admitted at page 5, lines 8-10, "The mismatch tool 10 further comprises the data input and data output interfaces that may be comprised of any data interface method or system". Also, at pages 8-9, Applicants have admitted programming changes for added new technologies, for example, make the technology available on the pull down menus, may be accomplished in a variety of methods by those skilled in the art. Furthermore, at page 7, lines 7-9, Applicants have admitted, "The five scenarios above are presented as examples of scenarios popular with those skilled in the art".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Michael et al. to incorporate the admission of Applicants to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1-6 and 8-20 because in the CAD environment using

Application/Control Number: 09/654,253 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

graphical interface to facilitate data input and output for different applications or scenarios is considered to be well known for those skilled in the relevant art.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Michael et al., "Statistical Modeling of Device Mismatch for Analog MOS Integrated Circuits", IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, Volume 27, Issue 2, February 1992, pages 154-166, and Applicants' admission in view of Hussey, U.S. Patent 5,826,269 issued October 20, 1998.

5-1. Regarding claims 7, Michael et al. fail to expressly disclose the output data file is an emailed ASCII output data file.

Hussey discloses an electronic mail interface that provides an efficient networked system that processes user requests submitted to a network server, the results of which are typically viewed at a later time in order to facilitate task scheduling by the server of user requests from connected client computers in a network, and thereby reduce the incidence of system bottlenecks that may rise with a server (column 3, lines 29 through column 4, line 16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Michael et al. and Applicants' admission to incorporate the teachings of Hussey to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7 because it will facilitate task scheduling and thereby reduce the incidence of system bottlenecks.

Applicants' Arguments

6. Applicants argue the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/654,253

Art Unit: 2128

(1) "in view of the statement of facts and other evidence provided in the September 28, 2005 Rule 1.131 Declaration and Appendices I-III (filed with the April 1, 2005 Rule 1.131 Declaration), Applicant respectfully submits that Zhang et al is not a proper reference to support a rejection of claims 1-6 and 8-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) since Zhang et al was filed after the invention by the Applicants" (page 11, paragraph 2, Response).

(2) "Given the effective date of Zhang et al and the September 28, 2005 Rule 1.131 Declaration as above noted, Zhang et al. is not a proper reference to support this §103(a) rejection of claim 7" (page 12, paragraph 1, Response).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments (1) and (2) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejections of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), in Office Action dated June 28,
2005, have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as detailed in sections 4 to 5-1 above.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Reference to Burrows et al., U.S. Patent 6,397,117 B1 issued May 28, 2002, and filed May 28, 1998, is cited as disclosing a distributed computer aided design (CAD) system.

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 09/654,253

Art Unit: 2128

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Herng-der Day whose telephone number is (571) 272-3777. The Examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 17:30.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (571) 272-2100.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kamini S. Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Herng-der Day December 12, 2005 H.D.

Thai Phan Patent Examiner Au: 2128